Case: 07-35760 10/29/2009 Page: 1 of 4 DktEntry: 7112147

FILED

#### NOT FOR PUBLICATION

OCT 29 2009

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

#### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

In the Matter of: GERALDINE KAY SMITH,

Debtor.

GERALDINE KAY SMITH,

Appellant,

v.

JOHN RUSH,

Appellee.

No. 07-35760

D.C. No. OR-06-01370-BMoH

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the Ninth Circuit
Bankruptcy Appellate Panel
Brandt, Montali, and Hollowell, Bankruptcy Judges, Presiding

Submitted October 13, 2009\*\*

JS/Research

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Case: 07-35760 10/29/2009 Page: 2 of 4 DktEntry: 7112147

Before: B. FLETCHER, LEAVY, and RYMER, Circuit Judges.

Geraldine Kay Smith appeals pro se from the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel's ("BAP") judgment affirming the bankruptcy court's orders dismissing her adversary proceeding for lack of prosecution and denying her motion for reconsideration. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 158(d). We review de novo the BAP's decision, *Simpson v. Burkart (In re Simpson)*, 557 F.3d 1010, 1014 (9th Cir. 2009), and we affirm.

The bankruptcy court properly concluded that service of the adversary complaint on Attorney James W. Gardner was not sufficient service on defendants because the record does not establish that Gardner had express or implied authority to accept service of process on their behalf. See Rubin v. Pringle (In re Focus Media Inc.), 387 F.3d 1077, 1081, 1083 (9th Cir. 2004) (explaining that the critical inquiry in determining whether an attorney is authorized to accept service of process is whether "the client acted in a manner that expressly or impliedly indicated the grant of such authority," and reviewing de novo whether service of process is sufficient (citation omitted)); Beneficial Cal., Inc. v. Villar (In re Villar), 317 B.R. 88, 93-94 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2004) (concluding that an attorney's representation of a corporation in an action giving rise to a judicial lien did not establish implied authority by the attorney to accept service on behalf of the

JS/Research 2 07-35760

Case: 07-35760 10/29/2009 Page: 3 of 4 DktEntry: 7112147

corporation for a motion to avoid the judicial lien in a bankruptcy case).

The bankruptcy court did not abuse its discretion by dismissing the adversary proceeding because Smith failed (1) to serve the complaint properly after receiving an extension of time and two warnings that failure to prosecute would result in dismissal; and (2) to rebut the presumption of prejudice to defendants caused by her unreasonable delay. *See Moneymaker v. CoBen (In re Eisen)*, 31 F.3d 1447, 1451-55 (9th Cir. 1994) (discussing factors that a court must consider before dismissing an action for failure to prosecute, and reviewing dismissal for an abuse of discretion).

The bankruptcy court did not abuse its discretion by denying Smith's motion for reconsideration because Smith did not present newly discovered evidence, demonstrate clear error, or show an intervening change in controlling law. *See 389 Orange Street Partners v. Arnold*, 179 F.3d 656, 665 (9th Cir. 1999) (setting forth grounds for reconsideration under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e), and concluding that the district court did not abuse its discretion by declining to address an issue raised for the first time in a motion for reconsideration); *see also* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023 (applying Rule 59(e) to bankruptcy proceedings).

The parties' other contentions, including appellee's contentions regarding mootness, are unpersuasive.

JS/Research 3 07-35760

Case: 07-35760 10/29/2009 Page: 4 of 4 DktEntry: 7112147

Smith's request for judicial notice is denied.

AFFIRMED.

Case: 07-35760 10/29/2009 Page: 1 of 5 DktEntry: 7112147

# United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Office of the Clerk

95 Seventh Street; San Francisco, California 94103

# General Information Judgment and Post-Judgment Proceedings

#### Judgment

• This Court has filed and entered the attached judgment in your case. Fed. R. App. P. 36. Please note the filed date on the attached decision because all of the dates described below run from that date, not from the date you receive this notice.

### Mandate (Fed. R. App. P. 41; 9th Cir. R. 41-1 & -2)

• The mandate will issue seven calendar days after the expiration of the time for filing a petition for rehearing or seven calendar days from the denial of a petition for rehearing, unless the court directs otherwise. To file a motion for stay of mandate, file it electronically via the appellate ECF system or by paper with an original and four copies of the motion.

## Petition for Panel Rehearing (Fed. R. App. P. 40; 9th Cir. R. 40-1) Petition for Rehearing En Banc (Fed. R. App. P. 35; 9th Cir. R. 35-1 to -4)

# (1) A. Purpose (Panel Rehearing):

- A party should seek panel rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:
  - ► A material point of fact or law was overlooked in the decision;
  - A change in the law occurred after the case was submitted which appears to have been overlooked by the panel; or
  - An apparent conflict with another decision of the court was not addressed in the opinion.
- Do not file a petition for panel rehearing merely to reargue the case.

# B. Purpose (Rehearing En Banc)

- A party should seek en banc rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:
  - Consideration by the full court is necessary to secure or maintain uniformity of the court's decisions; or
  - ► The proceeding involves a question of exceptional importance; or
  - The opinion directly conflicts with an existing opinion by another

Case: 07-35760 10/29/2009 Page: 2 of 5 DktEntry: 7112147

court of appeals or the Supreme Court and substantially affects a rule of national application in which there is an overriding need for national uniformity.

#### (2) Deadlines for Filing:

- A petition for rehearing may be filed within fourteen (14) days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party in a civil case, the time for filing a petition for rehearing is 45 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the mandate has issued, the petition for rehearing should be accompanied by a motion to recall the mandate.
- See Advisory Note to 9th Cir. R. 40-1 (petitions must be received on the due date).
- An order to publish a previously unpublished memorandum disposition extends the time to file a petition for rehearing to 14 days after the date of the order of publication or, in all civil cases in which the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party, 45 days after the date of the order of publication. 9th Cir. R. 40-2.

#### (3) Statement of Counsel

• A petition should contain an introduction stating that, in counsel's judgment, one or more of the situations described in the "purpose" section above exist. The points to be raised must be stated clearly.

## (4) Form & Number of Copies (9th Cir. R. 40-1; Fed. R. App. P. 32(c)(2))

- The petition shall not exceed 15 pages unless it complies with the alternative length limitations of 4,200 words or 390 lines of text.
- The petition must be accompanied by a copy of the panel's decision being challenged.
- An answer, when ordered by the Court, shall comply with the same length limitations as the petition.
- If an unrepresented litigant elects to file a form brief pursuant to Circuit Rule 28-1, a petition for panel rehearing or for rehearing en banc need not comply with Fed. R. App. P. 32.
- The petition or answer must be accompanied by a Certificate of Compliance found at Form 11.

Case: 07-35760 10/29/2009 Page: 3 of 5 DktEntry: 7112147

- If a petition is filed electronically via the appellate ECF system, no paper copies are required.
- If filing a petition for panel rehearing by paper, submit an original and 3 copies.
- If filing a petition for rehearing en banc by paper, submit an original and 50 copies.

## Bill of Costs (Fed. R. App. P. 39, 9th Cir. R. 39-1)

- The Bill of Costs must be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment.
- See Form 10 for additional information.

#### Attorney's Fees

- Circuit Rule 39-1 describes the content and due dates for attorney fee applications.
- All relevant forms are available on our website <u>www.ca9.uscourts.gov</u> or by telephoning (415) 355-7806.

#### Petition for Writ of Certiorari

• Please refer to the Rules of the United States Supreme Court at www.supremecourtus.gov

#### **Counsel Listing in Published Opinions**

- Please check counsel listing on the attached decision.
- If there are any errors in a published <u>opinion</u>, please send a letter in writing within 10 days to:
  - ► West Publishing Company; 610 Opperman Drive; PO Box 64526; St. Paul, MN 55164-0526 (Attn: Kathy Blesener, Senior Editor);
  - and electronically file a copy of the letter via the appellate ECF system by using "File Correspondence to Court."

	Case: 07-35760	10/29/2009	Page: 4 of 5	DktEntry: 7112147	
Form 10 Bill of Cos	ata .				(Pay 1 1 05

# **United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**

# **BILL OF COSTS**

service, within late bill of cost	14 days of s must be a	the date of ecompanie	entry of jud by a moti	bmitted on this address, and in a showing good preparing your b	accordance od cause. P	e with 9th lease refe	Circuit Ru	ıle 39-1. A
		v.				9th	Cir. No.	
The Clerk is requested t	o tax the fo	llowing co	ests against:					
Cost Taxable under FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, 9th Cir. R. 39-1	REQUESTED Each Column Must Be Completed				ALLOWED  To Be Completed by the Clerk			
	No. of Docs.*	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page**	TOTAL COST	No. of Docs.*	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page**	TOTAL COST
Excerpt of Record			\$	\$			\$	\$
Opening Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Answering Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Reply Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Other			\$	\$			\$	\$

TOTAL:

TOTAL:

Case: 07-35760
Form 10. Bill of Costs - Continued 10/29/2009 Page: 5 of 5 DktEntry: 7112147

Other: Any other requests must be accompanied by a statement explaining why the item(s) should be taxed pursuant to Circuit Rule 39-1. Additional items without such supporting statements will not be considered.

Attorneys fees **cannot** be requested on this form.

number must be submitted.  ** Costs per page may not exceed .10	or actual cost, whichever is less. Circuit Rule 39-1.
I, were actually and necessarily performed	, swear under penalty of perjury that the services for which costs are taxed , and that the requested costs were actually expended as listed.
Signature Date	
Name of Counsel:	
Attorney for:	
(To Be Completed by the Clerk)	
Date	Costs are taxed in the amount of \$
	Clerk of Court
	By: , Deputy Clerk